

### LNH2009-1B study

### **Corinne Haioun**

On behalf of the GELA







## LNH2009-1B

Randomized Phase III study evaluating the potential non-inferiority of a treatment, adapted to the early response evaluated with PET compared to a standard treatment, for patients aged from 18 to 80 y with low risk (aa IPI = 0) DLBCL



## Primary endpoint

### • PFS at 3 years

 4 or 6 cycles of R-CHOP 21, decided according to early response assessed by PET after 2 cycles, non inferior to 6 cycles of R-CHOP 21?

To note: randomization stratified according to:

- age (≤ 60y / > 60y )
- bulk (>10 cm)



## Secondary endpoints

- CR rate after 2 cycles on the basis of PET
- Evaluate the overall response rate\_according to IWC (International Harmonization Project – Cheson 2007) (CR, PR) after 4 or 6 cycles according to the treatment arm.

#### • EFS, PFS, DFS, OS

- Determine the ∆ SUV max between PET at baseline, PET after C2 and PET after C4
- Identify the biological factors on blood samples and on tumor biopsy influencing treatment response and prognosis.



# LNH 2009-1B - Sample size calculation

- Non inferiority hypothesis (clinically acceptable difference: 10%)
  - 3y- PFS : 80% (control arm)
- Accrual rate: ~11 patients per month
- Accrual period = 3 y
- Study duration = 6 y

n = 420 patients randomised for 114 events The approximate schedule of the interim and final analyses will be 42 months and 6 years after the first patient randomized, respectively.



## LNH 2009-1B study

### International study:

France, Belgium, Suisse, Portugal (to be confirmed) and ...

- GELARC for Coordination :
  - Randomisations, Monitoring, DataM,, PV, Biostatistics, logistics of PETs review, Pathological review (GELA-P) and logistic of biology samples



## PET Review (1/2)

- Baseline PET (PET0) is mandatory before inclusion, the result of which being faxed to allow patient randomization.
- PET0, PET2 and PET4 should performed on the same machine for both arms
- PET2, PET4 and PET6 should be done 16 +/- 2 days after D1 of the last cycle
- PET0, PET2 and PET4 will be reviewed (<u>3 expert reviewers</u>) and results should be transmitted on time in order to determine the treatment strategy (including the number of cycles in Arm B)
- All these requirements will be sent either by transfer (positoscope or internet) or CD-ROM shipment according to the process available on site.



## PET Review (2/2)

- Local and centralized interpretations of the PET should be done according
  - to the 5PS for the interim PET2 (adapted ?)
  - to the 5PS and to the IHP (Juweid, J Clin Oncol 2007) for PET4.
- SUVmax will be determined by the expert reviewers for secondary endpoints

## Contacts

PI: <u>s.bologna@chu-nancy.fr</u> PI: <u>jean-noel.bastie@chu-dijon.fr</u> Gelarc: <u>camille.pitrou@gelarc.org</u> Gelarc: <u>romain.ricci@gelarc.org</u> Gelarc: <u>anne-laure.borrel@gelarc.org</u>



